UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	·FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,855	10/23/2003	Bryan T. Starbuck	13768.469	6729
47973 WORKMAN N	7590 07/30/200 NYDEGGER/MICROS		EXAMINER	
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			SYED, FARHAN M	
	CITY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

X
\mathcal{O}^{\cup}

Office Action Summary	10/691,855 Examiner	STARBUCK ET AL. Art Unit			
Oπice Action Summary		Art Unit			
	1				
	Farhan M. Syed	2165			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 May 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
isposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-13,25 and 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 27 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-13, 25, and 27 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 15 May 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-13, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shuman (U.S. Patent 6,424,995).

As per claims 1 and 25, Shuman teaches a computer system that is network connectable along with one or more other computer systems to a network (i.e.

"Furthermore, those skilled in the art will recognize that the present invention may be implemented in a stand-alone or in a distributed computing environment." "Examples of such distributed computing environments include local area networks, enterprise-wide computer networks, and the global Internet.")(Column 4, lines 20-23, lines 27-30), a method for registering a message application to have primary control of a message folder space, the method comprising (i.e. "Those skilled in the art will also appreciate that the controls displayed by a form also vary based on the context in which the message is being displayed, i.e., whether the message is being composed by a user, or has already been sent or received by a user. In the context of an e-mail message, a "compose" form will include controls that allow the user to send the message when composition is complete. Similarly, a "receive" form will include controls that allow a user to forward the message to others, or reply to the sender. A "sent" form may indicate to the sender when the message was sent, and allow the user to send it to others.")(Column 13, lines 41-52): an act of an operating system (i.e. "The operating system 36, in conjunction with the BIOS 19 (FIG. 1) and associated device drivers, provides the basic interface between the computer's resources, the user, and the application program 37a. The operating system 36 interprets and carries out instructions issued by the user. For example, when the user wants to load an application program 37a, such as a program module embodying the present invention, the operating system 36 interprets the instruction (e.g., double clicking on the application program's icon) and causes the processing unit 14 to load the program code into RAM 17 from either the local hard disk drive 20, floppy disk 23, CD-ROM 26, or the remote memory storage device 33. Once the application program 37a is loaded into the RAM 17, it is executed by the processing unit 14." The preceding text clearly indicates that an operating system is required to carry out requests made by the user. The instructions are requests made by the application that the user interacts with to perform such requests. Thus, the message application is an instance of such an application in which instructions or requests are made to the user. This concept is fundamental to the operating system. Furthermore, the Examiner refers to Figure 2 in the prior art that illustrates this concept.)(Column 7,lines 9-22; Figure 2) receiving a folder registration request from a message application (i.e. "The operation of these MAPI components is illustrated

by describing the flow of an electronic message through these components. The user of a client application 300 sends an electronic message to one or more recipients. A message store provider 330 initiates the sending process and formats the message with additional information needed for transmission. The MAPI spooler 310 receives the electronic message, performs any required preprocessing, and delivers it to the appropriate transport provider 340." The folder registration request is illustrated by the electronic messages, which are requests that are executed by the operating system.)(Column 9, lines 44-49); an act of the operating system determining that a folder silo has resources available to satisfy the folder registration request (i.e. "Message store providers 330 handle the storage and retrieval of electronic messages and other information for the users of a client application. As illustrated in FIG. 4, the message information is organized using a hierarchical system known as a message store, which is implemented in multiple levels, with containers called folders holding electronic messages of different types. There is no limit to the number of levels in a message store, and folders can contain many sub-folders." The preceding text clearly indicates that a folder silo is illustrated by the function of the message store providers that determine the resource availability to satisfy a request.)(Column 9, lines 11-19); an act of the operating system allocating a folder space within the folder silo to satisfy the folder registration request (i.e. "Message store providers 330 handle the storage and retrieval of electronic messages and other information for the users of a client application. As illustrated in FIG. 4, the message information is organized using a hierarchical system known as a message store, which is implemented in multiple levels, with containers called folders holding electronic messages of different types. There is no limit to the number of levels in a message store, and folders can contain many sub-folders." The preceding text clearly indicates that the folder space within the folder silo is exemplified by the message store that implements containers called folders holding electronic messages of different types. An ordinary person skilled in the art understands that allocating space is a required step in holding electronic messages of different types in folders.)(Column 9, lines 11-19); and an act of the operating system maintaining an indication that the message application has primary control of the allocated folder space such that other message

applications can be made aware that the message application has primary control of the allocated folder space (i.e. "Message store providers 330 handle the storage and retrieval of electronic messages and other information for the users of a client application. As illustrated in FIG. 4, the message information is organized using a hierarchical system known as a message store, which is implemented in multiple levels, with containers called folders holding electronic messages of different types. There is no limit to the number of levels in a message store, and folders can contain many subfolders." "Transport providers 340 handle message transmission and reception. They control the interaction between the MAPI spooler 310 and the underlying messaging system 320. They also implement security if necessary and take care of any pre-processing and post-processing tasks that are required. Client applications 300 communicate with the transport providers 340 through a message store provider 330. When an incoming message is detected, the transport provider informs the MAPI spooler and the message is delivered to the appropriate message store. To handle outgoing messages, the message store moves the message to the outbound queue, informs the MAPI spooler, and the spooler transfers it to the appropriate transport providers." The preceding text clearly indicates that the transport providers make aware that the message application has primary control. This is illustrated in handling in how a client application (i.e. message application) communications with the transport providers through a message store, where the message store determines the allocated folder space.)(Column 9, lines 11-19; lines 28-40).

As per claim 2, Shuman teaches a method, wherein the act of an operating system receiving a folder registration request from a message application comprises an act of receiving a folder request from an electronic mail application (i.e. "FIG. 3 illustrates the modular architecture defined by MAPI. The client applications 300 are application programs that take advantage of the MAPI subsystem 305.")(Column 8, lines 7-9; Figure 3).

As per claim 3, Shuman teaches a method, wherein the act of an operating system receiving a folder registration request from a message application comprises an act of receiving a folder request for a folder space that is to store electronic messages having fields defined in accordance with a message application extension schema (i.e. "In FIG. 4, the first folder 400 contains note messages and uses the MAPI standard note form. The second folder 405 contains inventory request messages and uses a custom inventory form. The information on both forms represents the properties, or attributes, of the message. Messages are the units of data transferred from one user to another. Every message contains some text, which is formatted simply or more intricately depending on the form that is used, and envelope information that is used for transmission.")(Column 9, lines 64-67; Figure 4).

As per claim 4, Shuman teaches a method, wherein the act of an operating system receiving a folder registration request from a message application comprises an act of receiving a folder request for a folder space that is to store electronic messages having specified primary type (i.e. "In FIG. 4, the first folder 400 contains note messages and uses the MAPI standard note form. The second folder 405 contains inventory request messages and uses a custom inventory form. The information on both forms represents the properties, or attributes, of the message. Messages are the units of data transferred from one user to another. Every message contains some text, which is formatted simply or more intricately depending on the form that is used, and envelope information that is used for transmission.")(Column 9, lines 64-67; Figure 4).

As per claim 5, Shuman teaches a method, wherein the act of the operating system determining that a folder silo has resources available to satisfy the folder

registration request comprises an act of determining that a requested folder space is not currently allocated (Figures 3, 4, 5).

As per claim 6, Shuman teaches a method, wherein the operating system allocating a folder space within the folder silo comprises an act creating the folder space (Figure 2, 3).

As per claim 7, Shuman teaches a method, wherein the operating system allocating a folder space comprises an act of allocating a folder space that is to store electronic mail messages (Figure 3).

As per claim 8, Shuman teaches a method, wherein the operating system allocating a folder space comprises an act of allocating a folder space that is to store electronic messages having fields defined in accordance with a message application extension schema (Figure 3, 4).

As per claim 9, Shuman teaches a method, wherein the operating system allocating a folder space comprises an act of allocating a folder space that is to store electronic messages of a specified primary type (Figure 3, 4).

As per claim 10, Shuman teaches a method, wherein an act of the operating system maintaining an indication that the message application has primary control of

the allocated folder space comprises an act of updating an external list that tracks which message folders are allocated to which message applications (Figure 3, 4).

As per claim 11, Shuman teaches a method, wherein the operating system maintaining an indication that the message application has primary control of the allocated folder space comprises an act of altering the arrangement of the folder silo such that subsequent analysis of the folder silo indicates that the folder space is allocated to the message application (Figure 3).

As per claim 12, Shuman teaches a method, further comprising: an act of the operating system preventing another message application from accessing the allocated folder space subsequent to the folder space being allocated (Figure 3).

As per claim 13, Shuman teaches a method, further comprising: an act of the operating system sending a signal to the message application, the signal indicating the folder registration request was satisfied (i.e. "Message store providers 330 handle the storage and retrieval of electronic messages and other information for the users of a client application. As illustrated in FIG. 4, the message information is organized using a hierarchical system known as a message store, which is implemented in multiple levels, with containers called folders holding electronic messages of different types. There is no limit to the number of levels in a message store, and folders can contain many sub-folders.")(Figure 3, 4, 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/691,855 Page 9

Art Unit: 2165

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 27 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Remarks/Argument

6. Applicant's arguments filed 18 July 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues:

(1) "Shuman does not teach an 'operating system receiving a folder registration request from a message application."

The Examiner disagrees. Shuman teaches an operating system (i.e. operating system.)(Figures 2 and 3; see also column 7, lines 12-13) receiving a folder registration request ("The operating system interprets and carries our instructions issued by the user." The Examiner interprets the folder registration request as an instructions issued by the user. The Applicant of course will contended that the request is coming from the message application, however, message application sends such requests by proxy from the user. Furthermore, the applicant concedes that a message application request is being dictated by the user (i.e. sending user), see Applicant's specification, paragraph [0003])(Figures 2 and 3; see column 7, lines 12-13; see also Column 13, lines 41-52) from a message application (i.e. messaging system)(see Figure 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/691,855

Art Unit: 2165

(2) "Shuman does not disclose the reception of a 'folder registration request' from a 'message application."

The Examiner disagrees. Shuman discloses the reception of a 'folder registration request' (i.e. "For examiner if the message item is a meeting request..."The Examiner interprets the meeting request to be an instance of a folder registration request.)(column 16, lines 32-33) from a 'message application.' (i.e. Microsoft Outlook Program)(column 16, line 34)

(3) "Shuman (as well as its entirety) fails to teach any determination of resources available to satisfy a folder registration request."

The Examiner disagrees. Shuman teaches any determination of resources available (i.e. "Generally described the application program communicates with the operating system by calling predefined functions provided by the operating system. The operating system responds by providing the requested information in a message or by executing the requested task." The examiner interprets the determination of resources available to be an instance of calling predefined functions provided by the operating system. That is when the client application makes a request, the operating system will check available resources.)(Column 7, lines 42-48) to satisfy a folder registration request (i.e. "For examiner if the message item is a meeting request..."The Examiner interprets the meeting request to be an instance of a folder registration request.)(column 16, lines 32-33).

(4) "Shuman (as well as its entirety) fails to disclose maintaining an indication that the message application has primary control of the allocated folder space."

The Examiner disagrees. Shuman discloses maintaining an indication (i.e. signals)(column 4, line 64) that the message application (i.e. message system)(column 8, line 49)

Application/Control Number: 10/691,855

Art Unit: 2165

primary control to have the ability to initiate.)(column 9, lines 44-45) of the allocated folder space

has primary control (i.e. "message store provider initiates..." The examiner interprets the use of

(see Figures 3 and 4).

Hence, the Applicant's arguments do not distinguish over the claimed invention

over the prior art of record.

Any other arguments by the applicant are either more limiting than the claimed

language or completely irrelevant.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Farhan M. Syed whose telephone number is 571-272-

7191. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,855 Page 12

Art Unit: 2165

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100